



# **Large scale electronic structure calculations of nanosystems using Titan machine**

Lin-Wang Wang

*Computational Materials Science and Nanoscience Group Materials Sciences Division Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA*

> **US Department of Energy BES, Office of Science**

> > **INCITE Project NERSC, ALCF**

# **Outline**



- **(1) An atomic model of PbS surface passivation**
- **(2) Structure defect and electronic in-gap-states of PbS**
- **(3) Moire's pattern of MoS2/MoSe2 bilayer**
- **(4) Carrier localization in CH3NH3PbI3 hybrid perovskite**
- **(5) The electronic structure of vortices of ferroelectric materials**

# **Outline**



**(1) An atomic model of PbS surface passivation**

**By Danylo Zherebetskyy The size of the problems: ~ 1,000 atoms (but many situations) Calculation: using VASP Processor used: ~ 10,000 (with many jobs run together) practically impossible without INCITE project**

Zherebestskyy, et.al, Science 344, 1380 (2014) Liao, et.al, Science 345, 6199 (2014)

#### **Quantum dot and wire calculations for semiconductor materials**

lW







All the previous calculations are assuming perfect surface passivation

But what about realistic surface passivation?

Many problems are related to surface passivation

**☆ Hot carrier cooling** Carrier transport between QD  $\div$  **Efficiency of PL** 

### **Experimental facts for PbS-QD surface passivation**

- **1) (001)** and **(111)** facets on NC
- **2) Ligands removed** easily from (001)
- **3)** Pb:S =  $1.2-1.6:1$
- **4) Lig1- :Pb2+ excess** ~ 1:1
- **5) Certain (001)/(111) facet ratio**

But no detailed atomistic model which is consistent with the above facts *Alivisatos, Science 271 (1996) Murray et al.IBMJ.Res.Dev.45(2001) Puntes et al. Science 291 (2001) El-Sayed Acc.Chem. Res 37 (2004) Yin&Alivisatos, Nature 437 (2005) Jun et al. JPCB 109 (2005) Steckel et al. JACS 128 (2006) Moreels et al. Chem.Mater19, (2007) Liu et al. JACS 129 (2007) Moreels et al, JACS 130 (2008) Dai et al., ACS Nano 3 (2009) Talapin et al. Chem.Rev.110(2010) Tang et al. Nature Mater.10 (2011) etc*

**TEEEE** 

**BERKELEY LAB** 

### **But no STM image ……**



#### rrrr **Follow the PbS-QD Synthesis BERKELEY**

**Synthesis**  $PbO + (Oley1-COOH)_{2} \rightarrow (Oley1-COO)_{2}Pb + H_{2}O$ 

> **important role in passivation**

LAB





### $(Oley1-COO)_{2}Pb+TOP-S\rightarrow QD(PbS)+TOP:(Oley1-COO)_{2}$

### $(\text{PbS})_N + (\text{Oley1-COO})_2\text{Pb}\cdots\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow (\text{Oley1-COO})\text{-} \text{Pb}_{N+1}\text{S}_N [\text{111}] \text{-OH+HDCOOH}$



## **Detail atomic structures**



#### **Oleic acid**



#### **An atomistic model which fits all the exp. facts**





### **Conclusions about PbS surface passivations**

- rrrrr Ш **BERKELEY**  $AA$ B
- Most passivation happen on (111) surfaces, (001) surfaces do not need to be passivated
- Due to steric hindrance, a small anion is needed besides the large HDCOO anion, which makes the extra-Pb:HDCOO ratio 1:1
- ❖ Perhaps the ligand arrangement is not very random, hence can be studied by ab initio calculations
- ❖ The corner and edges can also be passivated using electron counting rule

$$
\sqrt{(001)}
$$
 and (111) facets on NC  
\n*Ligands removed* easily from (001)  
\n
$$
\sqrt{\text{Pb:}S} = 1.2-1.6 : 1
$$
\n
$$
\sqrt{\text{Lig}^1 : \text{Pb}^2 + \text{excess}^2} = 1:1
$$
\n
$$
(001)/(111)
$$
 facet ratio

*Zherebetskyy et al. Science 344, 1380 (2014)*

# **Outline**



- **(2) Structure defect and electronic in-gap-states of PbS**
- **Danylo Zhevebetskyy**
- **Size of the problems: ~1,000 atoms (but many situations)**
- **Calculation: using VASP**
- **Processor used: ~ 10,000 (with many jobs run together)**
	- **practically impossible without INCITE project**



**Question:** 

**Does the QD surface have to be so perfect in order to have good electronic and optical properties?**

**It is almost impossible to have such perfect surfaces!**

## **A simpler case: Cl passivated PbS (experimentally synthesized**)





The perfect passivation case

![](_page_15_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_2.jpeg)

Neutral defects do introduce In-gap-states

But should the defect be neutral given the chemical environment

DFT calculation shows that The defect should not be neutral But proper ion-removal:  $Cl<sup>-</sup>, S<sup>2</sup>$ <sup>+</sup>,  $Pb<sup>2+</sup>$ 

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **Defects in QDs: Pb2+, S2- ,,Cl- , vacancies and defects**

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

### **The S2- vacancy case**

![](_page_17_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

## **There can still be in-gap-states**

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_3.jpeg)

rrrrrrr

**BERKELEY LAB** 

lill

![](_page_19_Picture_1.jpeg)

- ❖ One must remove the proper ions, not neutral atoms (the calculation shows the proper ion defects have lower formation E).
- **\*** Most proper ion defects do not induce electronic in-gap state
- $\div$  S<sup>2-</sup> at the interior of the QD does induce defect state, but there is a large energy drive  $(0.9 \text{ eV})$  to drives the  $S^2$  out, and the barrier is low  $\left\langle \langle 1 \rangle \right\rangle$  tens of seconds).
- The experimental observed surface trap state comes from surface absorbed molecules.

# **Outline**

![](_page_20_Picture_1.jpeg)

**(3) Moire's pattern of MoS2/MoSe2 bilayer**

**By Jun Kang**

**Size of the problem: ~7,000 atoms**

**Calculation: LS3DF (linear scaling 3 dimensional fragments)**

**Processor used: ~60,000**

 **impossible without INCITE project**

Kang, Nano Lett. 13, 5485 (2013)

## **MoS<sup>2</sup> -MoSe<sup>2</sup> double layer: Moire's pattern**

**(1) There is a 10% lattice mismatch between MoS2 and MoSe2**

![](_page_21_Figure_2.jpeg)

Trrrr

**BERKELEY LAB** 

Ш

### **Different location has different coupling**

![](_page_22_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_2.jpeg)

### Three mechanisms for carrier localization

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### **Carrier localization in MoS<sup>2</sup> -MoSe2**

![](_page_24_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### **MoS2-MoSe2 with 2 degree rotation**

![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_2.jpeg)

- (1) The atomic Moire's pattern will cause carrier localization
- (2) The VBM is localized mostly by interlayer coupling
- (3) The CBM is localized mostly by interlayer charge transfer

# **Outline**

![](_page_27_Picture_1.jpeg)

### **(4) Carrier localization in CH3NH3PbI3 hybrid perovskite**

**By Jie Ma**

**Size of the problem: ~20,000 atoms**

**Calculation: LS3DF (linear scaling 3 dimensional fragments)**

**Processor used: ~60,000**

 **impossible without INCITE project**

Ma and Wang, Nano Lett. 15, 248 (2015)

#### **The atomic structure of room T CH3NH3PbI3**

![](_page_28_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_2.jpeg)

- **The molecule CH3NH3 has a large dipole moment**
- **They have 8 different possible orientations**
- **They occupy these orientations randomly**
- **This will cause long range electrostatic potential fluctuations**

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_3.jpeg)

Comparison between the DFT calculated potential and model dipole moment potential

![](_page_30_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **LS3DF: Hybrid (CH3NH3)PbI<sup>3</sup> perovskite for solar cell**

![](_page_30_Figure_2.jpeg)

**CBM VBM**

![](_page_31_Picture_1.jpeg)

(1) The random orientation of CH3NH3 cause electrostatic potential fluctuations

- (2) The potential fluctuations will cause free carrier localization, and electron hole separations
- (3) This will have significant impact on the carrier transport, recombination and exciton formation

# **Outline**

![](_page_32_Picture_1.jpeg)

**(5) The electronic structure of vortices of ferroelectric materials**

**Zhigang Gui Size of the problems: ~3,000 atoms Calculation: using LS3DF Processor used: ~ 60,000 Impossible without INCITE project**

Gui, et.al, Nano Lett. 3224 (2015)

![](_page_33_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_2.jpeg)

Experimentally, it is found that, when the vortex is formed, the conductivity of the system changed significantly, is that due to the reduction of the band gap?

#### **The CBM and VBM states calculated using LS3DF**

![](_page_34_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_2.jpeg)

 $(a)$ 

![](_page_34_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_5.jpeg)

# **Conclusions**

![](_page_35_Picture_1.jpeg)

- The QD surface is amenable for ab initio simulations
- $\triangle$  **The metal chalcogenite QD is good electronically, since** it is tolerance for structure defects
- The Moire's pattern in the MoS2/MoSe2 bilayer can localize the electronic states, perhaps can be used for novel device design
- The molecule disorder in orientation in CH3NH3PbI3 hybrid perovskite can cause electrosatic fluctuation, which in term will cause electron and hole seperations
- The vortex in BaTiO3/SrTiO3 will not cause any major reduction of the band gap. The significant change in conductivity is probably due to some external effects (impurity, etc).

### **Thanks for the INCITE project, all these calculations become possible !**